

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,412	02/04/2004	Ming Te Lai	BHT-3207-34	1926	
. 7590 12/11/2006			EXAMINER		
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			DICUS, TAMRA		
	RG PIKE, SUITE 1404 RCH, VA 22041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
	•		DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ζ,	
0	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/770,412	LAI, MING TE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tamra L. Dicus	1774		

	Tamra L. Dicus	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the since the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37.CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		30000				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non Co	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224\				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impilant Amendment (P10L-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:] will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil ided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the prefold is not taught by the prior art reference Yamagata, but is rather folded along the cut line after the package is filled. Applicant has not persuasively argued because the flap of the instant invention is intended to be folded over and the process limitations of pre/post folding are obvious as the flap of the prior art is folded over and thus serve no patentable significance between folding vs. prefolded flaps. The rejections are sustained for reasons of record.

RÉNA DYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU1774 12/26/24